IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 57 OF 2005

SMT.MEENA SHIRODKAR,CARANZALEM GOA. ....Petitioners

Versus

THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER AND ANR., ...Respondents

Shri N. Costa Frias, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. Vehidulla, Addl. Govt. Adovcate for respondent No.1.

Shri P. A. Kholkar, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Coram:- S.S. PARKAR &
V.M. KANADE, JJ.

Date:- 12th July, 2005

P.C.

The learned Advocate appearing for the landlord-respondent No.3 admits that the petitioner is in occupation of the premises in respect of which water connection is sought from respondent No.1. The learned Addl. Govt. Advocate, therefore, states that there is no difficulty in providing the water connection to the petitioner as asked for. The learned Advocate for the petitioner states that he is prepared to furnish the requisite documents to respondent No.1 within a week from today. Learned Addl. Govt. Advocate states that after furnishing the requisite documents by the petitioner, the water connection will be released to the petitioner within a period of two weeks thereafter

In view of the above statement, this petition does not survive and the learned Advocate for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the same. As such, the petition shall stand disposed of as withdrawn.

S.S. PARKAR, J.

V.M. KANADE, J.